



Elko County Natural Resource Management Advisory Commission

540 COURT STREET, SUITE 104, ELKO, NV 89801
PH. (775)738-6816, FAX (775) 738-4581

ELKO COUNTY NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION MINUTES

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2010

6:00 P.M. CALL TO ORDER:

Chairman Spratling called the regular meeting of the Elko County Natural Resource Management Advisory Commission to order at 6:00 p.m. on **October 20, 2010**, in Suite 102 of the Nannini Administration Building, 540 Court Street, Elko, Nevada.

Members Present: Craig Spratling
 Thad Alger
 Ted Wilton
 R. Jeff Williams
 Ken Wellington

Absent : Doug Shippy
 Orson Tingey

Others Present: Randy Brown, Natural Resource Manager
 Kelly Watson, Planning & Zoning Administrator
 Demar Dahl, Elko County Commissioner
 Ken Miller, Elko BLM District Manager
 Meghan Brown, Nevada Cattlemen's Association
 Grant Gerber, Smoked Bear

I. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Allegiance was pledged.

II. COMMENTS BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC:

NON-ACTION ITEM

There were no comments by the general public at this time.

Chairman Spratling moved the order of the meeting to item IV. Agency Reports.

Chairman Spratling changed the meeting order and opened Public Comment again after item V.

Mr. Gerber explained the details of the poster contest for Smoked Bear.

Chairman Spratling changed the order of the meeting and moved the discussion to item VIII.

**III. NEVADA CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION-PRESENTED BY MEGHAN BROWN,
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ACTION ITEMS**

- A. Discussion and information related to the Spruce Allotment and the proposed wild horse sanctuary by Madeleine Pickens and matters related thereto.

Meghan Brown stated Madeleine Pickens and her representatives and representatives of the BLM and NDOW toured the Spruce Allotment to go through the area and see what is located on the property. She indicated she and other interested parties had been invited by the BLM to discuss the concerns of the Nevada Cattlemen's Association and Elko County. She commented that Mrs. Pickens' proposal is a three page prospectus that is broad and non-specific and one of the County's concerns is about this action setting precedence. She stated the Nevada Cattlemen's Association has opposition to the conversion of livestock aums to wild horse aums and expansion of AML and herd management areas. She further stated one of the issues brought up was water rights and if Mrs. Pickens does not have title of said horses how could she prove beneficial use as required by State law for the livestock water rights that are being transferred from Sorensen's to OTS, LLC, which is the group that has purchased the property. She added that Mrs. Pickens is claiming voluntary non-use this season due to financial reasons and she doesn't own any livestock. She further added that Mrs. Pickens is interested in the BLM retaining ownership of the wild horses and paying her a \$500 stipend for each horse to be paid by the BLM. She indicated there were other issues such as brands and the State of Nevada laws but presently the Nevada Cattlemen's Association is concerned with the permanent conversion of livestock aums to wild horse aums and an increased overall AML. She further indicated previously there was a presentation on the Antelope Complex gather and there was a census over the last two weeks and the numbers were around 3,000 horses and the EA indicates 2,028 horses. She continued stating Mrs. Pickens' group is interested in postponing the gather until the transfer is complete for the preservation, sanctuary or long term holding facility they are proposing and process the horses at the Spruce Allotment.

Commissioner Williams indicated he has read some documents and regarding the business aspect proposed asked if the facility would be a short term holding facility.

Ms. Brown indicated that has not been decided and Mrs. Pickens' vision is anywhere between a monument and a sanctuary.

There was discussion regarding the proposal and if it would be a long term holding facility and whether it would actually provide a benefit to the taxpayer or a detriment with the loss of other uses such as recreation on the public land.

Ms. Brown stated the Nevada Cattlemen's Association's concern is the permanent conversion of livestock aums to horse aums and an overall increase in AML. She indicated there are many aspects of the proposal that will have to be worked out with the local, state and national offices and the local office has been cooperative in providing information to the Nevada Cattlemen's Association. She further stated the local BLM office has a livestock permit with OTS, LLC, and is moving forward.

Commissioner Wellington asked how access is going to be controlled for sportsmen and others that want to use the land but not view the wild horses since most of the property is public land. He also asked how the damage to wildlife in the area will be managed.

Ms. Brown indicated the details still need to be worked out because most of the details are conceptual right now. She further indicated because of the lack of details the Commission may not be comfortable opposing the proposal completely but requested the board take a stand regarding the permanent conversion of livestock aums to wild horse aums statewide and nationally.

Commissioner Wilton indicated in the prospectus it mentions evolving into a 501(c) (3) organization which may have implications to the County revenue in respect to the property being moved off of the tax rolls. He further indicated there are a lot of references to "shall" and "will" instead of "may" as far as the BLM participation. He expressed concerns about the wildlife habit that would be impacted if 10,000 horses were using the land.

Ms. Brown indicated these were some of the concerns that were brought up at the meeting with the BLM including whether a 501(c) (3) corporation can hold a grazing permit. She further indicated there may be loss in revenue and fees that are usually distributed to different agencies for other improvements. She added there are numerous direct and indirect impacts of permanent conversion of landscape of over 500,000 acres.

Commissioner Williams stated the truth of the savings, if any, to the taxpayer needs to be brought out.

Ms. Brown repeated that the important questions need to be asked of the BLM locally and nationally.

Commissioner Wilton asked if there was any discussion regarding the opportunities of acquiring additional properties and water rights as contained in the prospectus.

Ms. Brown stated yes and the Warm Springs property that abuts the Spruce Allotment will be closing soon. She indicated there are several other allotments south of the Spruce Allotment that are currently for sale and Mrs. Pickens is actively engaging other properties.

Commissioner Wilton asked about the 501(c) (3) structure and the holding of allotments being in the line of questioning with John Marvel and Western Watershed since he was trying to hold allotments and was in violation because of the structure of his corporation.

Ms. Brown indicated she thought some of that information will come into play. She located the Warm Springs property on a map and gave specifics about the public and private land and associated amenities.

There was discussion regarding improvements within the area that were paid for by NDOW and what the status of those would be if Mrs. Pickens purchased the property and included it in her proposal.

There was also discussion regarding historical sites in the area and if they were being addressed.

Chairman Spratling asked Mr. Miller if the BLM will be doing a full blown EIS.

Mr. Miller stated the BLM will go through the process to determine if it is required. He stated the handout the Commission has is a briefing paper the BLM has put out for the Spruce Allotment. He further stated they are working with a willing private landowner that has proposed to do something different with the wild horses but there is still a process that has to be followed. He added the proposal is in its infancy and it doesn't have any detail at this time. He further stated it is being dealt with at the local level and Bryan Fuell and he have the most concern about the proposal. He continued indicating Mr. Fuell and his employees would be the ones that would do most of the work and sit down with Mrs. Pickens' representatives and entertain their proposal against a proposal that the BLM could consider. He further added that his biggest fear is that the national or state office would contact them locally and tell them to deal with the proposal and make it happen. He continued stating the local office needs support from the state and national offices in whatever direction they would propose. He further stated the BLM is going to meet with representatives of Mrs. Pickens and entertain opportunities to deal with wild horses differently in the west and the United States which is turning the corner on wild horse and burro program issues. He further added that the BLM knows continuing to place horses in long term holding facilities is not viable and they need to contemplate what other options are available to them as a land management agency and as a people. He stated this is one part of the realization and something different needs to be done. He suggested the County stay on top of this issue and requests be made to the BLM for additional information if it isn't being provided. He concluded stating this proposal is not an easy one to contemplate and the complexities and potential of this issue to go good or bad is real.

Commissioner Wilton stated he felt the Commission would discuss this issue for a period of time and in depth because of the different topics touched on but one that had not been discussed is the mineral resources that would be affected.

Mr. Miller stated there will be a lot of involvement of people that reside in Elko County and other counties. He added it will be something that is on the national stage and the things that the BLM hopes to participate in is working to provide adequate information so bad direction and legislation doesn't come out of it and the BLM is going to work hard to keep people grounded. He further stated that logic and science must be involved in the decisions.

Commissioner Wellington asked unless there are changes to the Taylor Grazing Act, would the proposal be able to go forward.

Mr. Miller stated depending on what the proposal is it could be done within the Taylor Grazing Act.

Commissioner Wellington asked before everyone is vested in this shouldn't there be a legal opinion or interpretation regarding its compliance with the Taylor Grazing Act.

Mr. Miller indicated the BLM is moving forward and has contacted the representatives of Mrs. Pickens to sit down and contemplate what the proposal is and as it is contemplated it will be determined if it is necessary to seek an opinion or not.

Commissioner Wellington stated he is against the facility and will be proposing a motion opposing the proposal but feels the Commission is ahead of themselves because he doesn't think it is necessary to oppose it at this time but the Commission needs to be paying attention to the issue.

Chairman Spratling asked if an EA or EIS is required who would pay for that.

Mr. Miller stated that will be discussed and one of the things BLM has said is they will seek funding. He further stated this is a partnership in development which means there will be a memorandum of understanding between the partners where both are in agreement to the process and terms.

Commissioner Wilton stated he would not support public money being spent for an EA or an EIS since it is a private initiative being proposed by a private group.

Mr. Miller stated the difference would be if it is a partnership that results in reduction to costs to the taxpayer for care of horses and the care of horses in a fashion that will lead us into the next two decades.

County Commissioner Demar Dahl stated the proposal may be the most dangerous thing that has come along for the existence of ranches in Nevada where there are a lot of horses. He added that the proposal is being sold as a way to solve the problem we have with the 34,000 horses in short term and long term holding facilities and it doesn't do it. He gave an overview of how holding facilities in the east are currently leased and the horses are made non-reproducing herds that stay at the facility until they die which will relieve the overcrowding situation in the west and relieve some of the other holding facilities. He further stated that the proposal from Mrs. Pickens would not address the problem unless many other ranches were bought and converted to horse refuges where cows currently exist. He further added that the danger of the proposal is the precedence it sets. He stated that Mrs. Pickens had indicated she would meet with the local citizens and governments before she did anything but she didn't and instead went to Washington D.C. and met with representatives and gained their support. He further stated that one of the things that can be done to slow down this proposal is by the fact that the Taylor Grazing Act must be tweaked to make the proposal work and there is water law that states BLM can't use livestock owned by someone else to prove beneficial use for water rights so he doesn't understand how Mrs. Pickens can use horses they don't own to prove beneficial use. He continued stating the proposal is still in its infancy and should be killed because it is bad for taxpayers, livestock industry and generations to come.

Grant Gerber stated he wanted to make one observation that El Paso Gas and T. Boone Pickens are both oil and gas companies from Texas with their headquarters in Houston and it is troubling that multi-millions of dollars of oil and gas money out of Houston is being used to stop the wildlife, hunting, mining and ranching in Elko County.

MOTION: Commissioner Wellington made a motion to recommend to the Elko County Commission that they oppose any changes to the Taylor Grazing Act and oppose any conversion of aums from cattle to horses on the Spruce Allotments believing these changes could affect habitat, wildlife and historical features in Elko County. Commissioner Wilton seconded the motion.

The following discussion took place prior to the vote:

Chairman Spratling stated he felt there were more issues that should be included in the motion.

Commissioner Williams stated in the Elko County Public Land use Management Plan there is information regarding the impact of grazing in Elko County and cited a portion of the Plan. He indicated the economy would be greatly impacted if the grazing is decreased in the County.

Commissioner Wilton repeated a statement by County Commissioner Warren Russell that the economy in Elko County is like a three legged stool being agricultural, mining and tourism. He stated this proposal is a direct threat to two of the three legs and this is a topic that will be discussed for a long period of time and needs to mature to be able to further analyze it but the Natural Resource Advisory Commission needs to stake out a position early and present our recommendation to the Board of Commissioners for their consideration and action. He added even though all of the implications aren't understood there are enough serious issues and NRMAC needs to establish their position early.

County Commissioner Demar Dahl stated there may be the argument that this is a private property issue but once the transaction of the property is complete there is the issue of the horses that are going to be run there and the taxpayer's money that will be paying the bill which is government from then on and the public is part of the government and they have the right to provide input on how the money should be spent.

Commissioner Wilton added that even though the sale of the property was private, the parties had a responsibility to the public when the actions on the private property impinge on the rights of others.

Commissioner Alger agreed with Commissioner Wellington's statement but stated there are other things that can be used as tools against the proposal including the business structure that is being proposed rather than focusing on one specific tool.

Chairman Spratling stated it would be beneficial to list all of the reasons why we are opposed to the proposal.

County Commissioner Dahl suggested Mr. Brown work with the Commission in the development of a resolution for a recommendation to the County Commission and present it to the County Commission for review as soon as possible.

Commissioner Wellington withdrew his motion and Commissioner Wilton withdrew his second to the motion.

MOTION: Commissioner Wellington moved to direct Staff to create a resolution with this Board's concerns with the proposal as discussed at this meeting and have the chairman sign the resolution and forward it to the Elko County Commission before their next meeting. Commissioner Alger seconded the motion.

There was discussion regarding the review and approval by the Natural Resource Management Advisory Commission of the resolution created by Staff prior to the Chairman signing the resolution and what the content of the resolution should be.

Commissioner Wellington amended his motion to require review and approval by the majority of the Commissioners of the draft resolution before the Chairman signs it. Commissioner Alger seconded the amendment. The amendment passed unanimously.

The original motion directing Staff to compose a resolution regarding the information presented at the meeting opposing the Madeleine Pickens proposed wild horse sanctuary on the Spruce Allotment was voted on and passed unanimously.

VOTE:

AYE: Craig Spratling
Thad Alger
Ted Wilton
R. Jeff Williams
Ken Wellington

NAY: None

ABSENT: Doug Shippy
Orson Tingey

ABSTENTIONS: None

The Chairman moved the order of the meeting to IV. B. United States Forest Service.

IV. AGENCY REPORT AND UPDATES:

ACTION ITEMS

A. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

ACTION ITEMS

- 1) Presentation, discussion and consideration of the Jarbidge Field Office Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and all other matters related thereto.

Ken Miller, District Manager for BLM, indicated he was planning on commenting after Ms. Brown made her presentation on the proposal by Madeleine Pickens affecting the Spruce

Allotment. He stated the Jarbidge RMP extension request has not been acted on as far as he knows and encouraged the members to continue to review the plan and provide comments including requesting further information if needed.

Commissioner Wilton indicated he wanted to look into the Plan more deeply and asked Mr. Miller if there was a complete copy of the RMP in his office.

Staff indicated the Jarbidge RMP was on a DVD and sent out to all of the members of NRMAC.

Mr. Miller stated they could make another overall presentation or specific specialists could come to a meeting if needed.

Mr. Brown stated there had been a presentation made to the County Commission.

Commissioner Williams quoted from page 7 of the Jarbidge RMP indicating there is a no action alternative which would leave everything the way it is but there is a lead in towards travel management like we are going through with the Forest Service right now that states limiting or prohibiting authorized uses at times to reduce the risk of fire starts and asked Mr. Miller if that would be an indication they will be heading towards road closures and/or seasonal road closures. He stated he felt the no action alternative would not be included if it was not an option of being used and it looks like the BLM is heading towards the preferred alternative and he is concerned with that.

Mr. Miller stated the action of limiting or prohibiting authorized uses at times to reduce the risk of fire starts would be similar to the BLM prohibiting the use of open flame. He stated there have been times historically that an area was closed to use due to the high likelihood of fire starts during extreme fire seasons and he believes the statement in the Plan to be a tool that could be utilized in the alternative.

Commissioner Williams asked Mr. Miller if he believed that statement had to do with off road vehicle use.

Mr. Miller stated he did not believe that statement referred to off road vehicle use but would be for the purpose of limiting or prohibiting authorized uses such as smoking and use of firearms at times to reduce the risk of fires and it could mean the closure of an area that is an extreme fire danger. He further stated he did not believe the statement was specific to travel.

Commissioner Wilton suggested Commissioner Williams refer to page 13, preferred alternative, which was one of the three items he had flagged for further research because it states a comprehensive travel management plan will be completed within five years of the Jarbidge RMP.

Commissioner Williams indicated Mr. Miller has told us that a travel management plan is coming up in the next several years that will almost be equal to what the Forest Service is going through right now.

Mr. Miller stated he would not say it was like the Forest Service. He indicated it is a BLM process that when a Resource Management Plan is completed a travel management plan associated with that is part of implementation and is developed following completion of the RMP. He further

stated he would expect the Twin Falls District would initiate discussion of travel management sometime after the RMP is complete. He further indicated that would not be done at a national level as the Forest Service but it would be specific to the Twin Falls District and doesn't mean it would be done in the same fashion as the Forest Service.

Commissioner Williams stated they are both called a travel management plan and asked Mr. Miller if the BLM's interpretation of that is different than the Forest Service.

Mr. Miller stated the BLM will assess what roads need to be where and what use of motorized vehicles is appropriate to the RMP as it is developed. The RMP will contain information that will help guide how travel might be managed in the future but that is not the same as the way the Forest Service is doing it. He further stated the BLM will be doing travel management in Elko County and in the area of Spruce Mountain it will be soon. He explained the process being used by the Forest Service is similar but the BLM does not envision it becoming a controversial issue and suggest it being a partnership with the community and Elko County.

Commissioner Williams asked if the plan done by the BLM will be localized rather than at a federal level.

Mr. Miller answered that is correct.

Mr. Brown asked if the Travel Management Plan will be a regional type situation where Elko County will be dealing with the Elko Regional Office.

Mr. Miller answered yes.

Mr. Brown stated it will still be a federal action and asked if the BLM will look at travel management as a management tool and not invoke a prohibition on public lands. He indicated he felt that is what is happening with the Forest Service plan as they are proposing it and asked if BLM is willing to look at the option of cross country travel for various uses or if a directive has already been sent down from the national office.

Mr. Miller stated there is not a national initiative and the plan will be specific to actions and activities within the districts.

Mr. Brown asked if it would affect all BLM land.

Mr. Miller indicated it would only be specific to actions and activities within the districts.

There was discussion about what the process will be for the BLM travel management plans in connection with Resource Management Plans and how and where they would be done.

Mr. Brown stated for clarification the BLM will produce resource management plans on site specific locations or areas not necessarily all of Elko County will go through this process.

Mr. Miller indicated the RMP or Land Use Plan will cover all of the Elko District which includes all of Elko County and part of the implementation will be development of how we are going to use our roads, insure access and at the same time care for the environment.

The chairman moved the order of the meeting back to item III.

- B. UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE ACTION ITEMS
1) Report on Creeks and Communities efforts in the Southwest Ruby Mountains.

There wasn't anyone representing the United States Forest Service present at the meeting.

V. REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA MINING CLAIM FEES AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO: ACTION ITEM

- A. Article in Northern Nevada Business Weekly entitled "Exploration companies battle higher fees".

Commissioner Wilton referred to a document from the Division of Minerals that includes a table indicating Elko County has the largest percentage of mining claims in Nevada and compares percentages over the years and how they are declining. He added that NRMAC had passed a resolution to forward a recommendation to the Board of Commissioners to include this item on their legislative agenda as a priority and asked if the County Commission has taken action on the item and if not to remind the Board of Commissioners to emphasize the importance of that tax on the County.

There was discussion about when the mining claim fees have to be paid and what the structure of the fees for the State of Nevada is.

Commissioner Wilton explained that the fees will affect the amount of mining claims held which will affect exploration, discoveries, mines and jobs which include mining services because Elko is the mining center of the United States. He added that when mining in other counties decreases it affects jobs and business activity in Elko.

There was continued discussion about the difference in the amount of mining claims in other counties compared to Elko County and how the tax structure may be a factor in the decline in Elko County.

There was also discussion on how the fee was introduced and once the election of the next Assemblyman was complete the County Commissioners should invite the elected Assemblyman to a meeting and recommend this issue become a priority at the legislative session.

Commissioner Alger stated the fee is misdirected and the legislation saw the mining industry was doing well and decided to tax them.

There was discussion regarding the development of the fee including the lack of public input and the affect it will have on the different levels of mining including the junior companies.

Mr. Gerber stated miners typically don't get involved in politics but if a group of miners and geologists got together there would be ways to get this issue discussed in the press and to get the legislators prior to the legislative session thinking about it and if they recognize it has been

counterproductive the legislature would act quickly. He added if the miners would take a strong position and organize they could make a difference. He encouraged the Commission to invite all of the small miners in Elko County to the next meeting to address these issues and the Commission could become the voice of these people and the mining industry.

Commissioner Williams suggested involving the media by having them conduct an interview with Commissioner Wilton and invite the miners and the media to the meeting.

Commissioner Wilton stated a new organization has formed comprised of independent consultants primarily from the west side of the State and didn't feel the workers would make an impact in Carson City. He indicated a few parties have filed a lawsuit in the District Court and his assessment of the situation was Elko County as a county government probably has the loudest and clearest voice in Carson City and NRMAC and the County Commission have a good understanding of the importance of the industry not just the large mining companies. He added that there is nothing wrong with trying to mobilize and establishing a dialogue with the people directly affected.

Mr. Gerber further stated he felt if the media were involved it would be beneficial and may help influence politicians from other areas to support the opposition. He also stated Elko County is the strongest and organized of the rural counties and NACo would come on board with the issue. He added if it were properly organized every county in the state would be going to the legislature to help them realize the economics of how it affects all of the counties. He further indicated this is a huge industry if you include all of the parties involved and NRMAC is the perfect place to pull them together. He suggested Commissioner Wilton figure out how to get all of the affected people mobilized because it affects everyone in our tax structure.

Commissioner Williams made a recommendation of a representative attending the next NACo meeting and placing this item on their agenda for consideration and possible approval.

There was further discussion about sending representatives from the mining industry and the community to attend the legislative session to voice their opposition to the mining fees.

Commissioner Wilton stated if the Board of Commissioners took action to send a representative to the legislature he would be willing to attend.

There was discussion about the logistics of assembling different groups affected and the time needed for different boards to meet to take action on the issue and still being able to make a presentation at the next NACo meeting and be prepared for the legislative session.

MOTION: Commissioner Wellington moved to forward the item for review and consideration of the Elko County Commissioners at their next meeting and invite those affected to the meeting. Commissioner Alger seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

VOTE:

AYE: Craig Spratling
Thad Alger

Ted Wilton
R. Jeff Williams
Ken Wellington

NAY: None

ABSENT: Doug Shippy
Orson Tingey

ABSTENTIONS: None

Chairman Spratling called a 10 minute recess.

Chairman Spratling reconvened the meeting and changed the order of the meeting and asked for additional Public Comment under item II.

VI. REVIEW, UPDATE AND DISCUSSION OF USFS MOUNTAIN CITY, RUBY MOUNTAINS AND JARBIDGE RANGER DISTRICTS COMBINED TRAVEL MANAGEMENT PROJECT: ACTION ITEM

Mr. Brown stated he had obtained a copy of a letter from NDOW to the Forest Service dated in March, 2009, that indicates support for the Forest Service Travel Management Plan and a letter of retraction has been issued since it was the personal opinion of one NDOW employee. He further stated the mediator has been hired for the facilitated meeting with the Forest Service but the Forest Service has yet to commit to a date. He added that there will be a joint public meeting on November 8, 2010, in the meeting room concerning the issue of not receiving information requested in the past and the Forest Service will be asked for the information at a public hearing that is recorded and transcribed. He added that on November 8th, there will also be oral or written testimony given by about eleven people and the Forest Service has been asked to participate but they have stated they can't sit on a committee legally even though we have assured them it is not a committee but a joint public meeting. He continued indicating the Forest Service feels they have met all the requirements of the American Procedures Act but the County does not feel they have since the Forest Service has not recorded any of their meetings and all of the public comment has been oral and not easily transcribed and the information needs to become public. He added that the meeting is being held to take testimony and encouraged everyone to be in attendance and if they aren't able to be in attendance, written comment would be accepted. He explained some of the science that has been used by the Forest Service and expressed the need for better science to provide different findings and support multiple uses. He also emphasized that any direction and/or comment from the County and its residents should be considered more importantly than direction and/or comment from other groups or individuals.

VII. REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT ELKO COUNTY PUBLIC LANDS USE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND PLAN DIRECTIVES: ACTION ITEM

Mr. Brown gave an overview of revision 5 of Draft 4 of the Elko County Public Lands Use

Management Plan and received comments and suggestions from the Commissioners for additions and revisions.

VIII. ELKO COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE / NDOW:
Commissioner Wellington / Other NON-ACTION ITEM

There wasn't any information reported by Commissioner Wellington.

Chairman Spratling moved the order of the meeting to item VI.

IX. CORRESPONDENCE REPORT AND DISCUSSION: NON-ACTION ITEM

NONE

X. NEXT MEETING DATE ACTION ITEM

- A. Review, discussion and action to change the date of the next regular meeting from November 24, 2010, to November 17, 2010, due to the Thanksgiving holiday.

MOTION: Commissioner Wilton moved to change the date of the next regular meeting from November 24, 2010, to November 17, 2010. Commissioner Wellington seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

VOTE:

AYE: Craig Spratling
Thad Alger
Ted Wilton
R. Jeff Williams
Ken Wellington

NAY: None

ABSENT: Doug Shippy
Orson Tingey

ABSTENTIONS: None

XI. NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMISSIONERS AND COUNTY STAFF COMMENTS: NON-ACTION ITEM

NONE

XII. ADJOURNMENT

ACTION ITEM

Chairman Spratling adjourned the meeting as there was no further business.

Minutes Clerk, *Kelly Watson*
Kelly Watson

Date Approved, February 23, 2011